

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78761
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW ON THE HISTOLOGICAL TYPES OF HAEMANGIOMA
Type of the Article	Review Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The whole manuscript is written in different font style (calibri, Seogoe UI etc) and also in different font size (11, 12). It is recommended to prepare the whole manuscript in similar font and similar font size.</li> <li>2. Spelling of Haemangioma is written with both American (He...) and British (Hae...) spelling style in the same manuscript. Although none of this is wrong, but it is generally recommended to write the whole article with similar spelling. Same thing also for Tumors and tumours. And, it is also recommended to prepare your manuscript fully in either British or American style, but not a mixing one.</li> <li>3. References are not as journal's guideline and not in correctly ordered. Please prepare the references in proper way.</li> <li>4. Please make the revised article with proper punctuation marks as universally recommended.</li> <li>5. Abstract is 100% similar as first 4/5 sentences of introduction. It is recommended to prepare the abstract from crisp of whole manuscript.</li> <li>6. The overall manuscript must be rechecked for spelling, grammar and punctuation marks; several silly mistake in manuscript is noted like "wil" instead of "will". "strinking" instead of "striking". These should be look upon for correction in final version.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Modify the 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence of abstract.</li> <li>2. The keywords "capillary" and "spindle cell variant" is not mentioned in abstract.</li> <li>3. Modify first letter of each word as capital in osler-Weber -rendu disease, as these are name of scientist.</li> <li>4. Provide references to the 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of "Discussion" part.</li> <li>5. Some photographs (histological, clinical and radiological) will increase the strength of manuscript.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. References should be written within bracket [1]. Not in superscript <sup>1</sup>; as per journal guideline.</li> <li>2. You can add 2/3 more references.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Diptajit Paul</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>