

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78746
Title of the Manuscript:	The outcome of Cervical spine injury associated with traumatic brain injuries: Tertiary care hospital study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors investigated the incidence of cervical spine injuries associated with traumatic brain injuries.</p> <p>I think the research is simple, the facts are the facts, and the results are the results.</p> <p>Clarify the definition of cervical spine injury in this study. What are the symptoms, what are the findings on CT or MRI, and what are the positive cases in this study?</p> <p>Also, what is the definition of severe or mild for the degree of brain injury?</p> <p>Please describe the results of each table, especially in the main text, in addition to the description of what the table is about.</p> <p>In addition, Tables 2 to 4 also describe the results for the Chi-square test, but if there is a significant difference, I would like to see that OO is higher or lower than XX for OX. ..</p> <p>What is "CSI / AGE" in Table 3?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Same as above.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Simple and compact work, but this type of work is essential.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Takemi Otsuki
Department, University & Country	Kawasaki Medical School, Japan