

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78716
Title of the Manuscript:	Overview on Gastrointestinal Foreign Bodies in Children
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The abstract shall mention the methods used in the study including sample size, sampling technique, period of data collection and also results shall be addressed. - In text referencing in the manuscript is not appropriately ordered. As a scientific community it is recommendable to use the ideas from the first reference and go to the next rather than mixing up the orders. - The last paragraph of the introduction includes the method, the findings of the study and the conclusion. Rather than presenting the data in a single paragraph it would have been good to discuss the findings with previously published article part by part. - Previously published studies in the subject matter shall be searched and included exhaustively; especially the location section has not discussed previously published works. - The reference has to be revised up to the standard of the journal. Some of the references are written in fragmented way and most of the references has the source data base mentioned in bracket, which is unnecessary. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The management section has provided the possible management options and possible factors to be considered during management. But would be more informative and help full if the managements used for the respondents of this study were included and discussed with available literature. 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tomas Yeheyis
Department, University & Country	Hawassa University, Ethiopia