

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78710
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment Screening of chest pain in primary setting
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I would appreciate the authors for the effort in selecting and analyzing this simple topic which is very important to the clinician. 2. ACS *Acute coronary syndrome": the abbreviation needs to be elaborated at the first usage. 3. The other terminologies which have been used for the chest pain description have been Boring, constricting , retrosternal burning and the ones described by the authors. 4. Yes the chest pains have to be risk stratified as already high lighted by the authors. 5. As already pointed out by the authors that the type of chest pain is very important and most of the diagnosis can be made on the clinical presentation. 6. I appreciate the way the authors have described the assessment of the chest pain. 7. It is very important that the GP should be able to diagnose the seriousness of the chest pain and accordingly act upon. 8. Risk factors for sudden cardiac deaths have not been considered here. 9. Did the authors also take into consideration of the risk factors like Hypertension, Diabetes, Dyslipaedimea , hypercholesteremia.etch were considered or not to differentiate the serious ness of the chest pain. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The text has some spelling and grammatical mistakes which have to be addressed. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abha Chandra
Department, University & Country	Sri Venkateswara Institute of Medical Sciences Tirupati, India