

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78702
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnosis of colon carcinoma and endovascular approach in the treatment of patients with colorectal cancer metastases
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-In the introduction first line its written "colon carcinoma, which is also called colon cancer", feels redundant, must be changed, try something like "colon carcinoma or colon cancer area the general name for a wide variety of malignant neoplasms.-In the introduction, near the end of paragraph a line said "More than 50 % of patients have rectal cancer, that line it's not supposed to be there, relocate.-Material and methods must be resumed, the 7 categories there has to be simple in redaction, later in discussion and results there must be the info that it's in material an methods.-Try to write a little larger explanation of pathologic of colorectal cancer.-In the conclusions try to add which group was the most beneficiated in the previous lines.	
Minor REVISION comments	Maybe, make a little change to the title. More accurate	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leoncio Erick Herrera Barrera
Department, University & Country	Universidad de Guadalajara, Mexico