

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78696
Title of the Manuscript:	Overview on Neurological manifestations of thyroid disease
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Discussion is missing.</p> <p>Introduction section is too long. It goes beyond introduction leading to the main body of the article.</p> <p>As a review article, the authors should categorize each items appropriately. For example, separate hypothyroidism and hyperthyroidism and list up all the diagnostic names for each category. Neurological symptoms should be categorized to central nerve system, peripheral nerve system, and autonomic nerve system.</p> <p>Nothing is written regarding pharmacology. As this is a journal of pharmacological research, the article should be written about pharmacological subjects.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>There are many typos and grammatical errors. Sometimes the sentence is too long. The first sentence of AHDS goes 9 lines. Many times the statement does not make sense due to the grammatical errors.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The idea of this research is interesting. However, the authors should rewrite the whole article in well-organized manner so that the readers can understand easily.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yuko Harada
Department, University & Country	Kawasaki Municipal Ida Hospital, Japan