

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78676
Title of the Manuscript:	Spectrum of diarrheagenic Escherichia coli in drinking and wastewater in Rafha city of Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The percentage prevalence of DEC strains seems to vary across different studies. These differences may be due to different geographical location, number of samples taken, and the number and type of the target genes selected for the study. The percentage of the EPEC positive samples were very low in our study (2%) which is in accordance with the study reported by Mbanga et al., 2020 (1.7 %) [30]. Osińska et al. [31]. however, reported 65% EPEC from raw and processed wastewater from a WWTP in Poland. The prevalence of EAEC in our study was also very low (2%) whereas Mbanga et al., 2020, [30] reported 53.3% prevalence of EAEC in samples taken from WWTP which is even higher than that reported by Osińska et al. [31] 28%.</p> <p>I consider that this results are related with methodology they do not take account volume of sample only McConkey media directly without centrifugation or counting UFC/mL Anyway could be accepted because they take 5 colonies and all were tested by PCR</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Can be interesting if they amply or read some of the cited references in order to compare Methodology that justify these minor results</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Silvia Giono
Department, University & Country	Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Mexico