

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78667
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Hypodontia in Saudi Arabia: A Retrospective Digital Orthopantomographic Study
Type of the Article	Retrospective cross-sectional observational study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is an epidemiological study to determine hypodontia in children 6 to 9 years old who do not have any syndrome, the finding determines that the range of hypodontia is found in 5.9% of the studied population, this study is a report in a Saudi population. Although the study clarifies that since it is a retrospective study, no information could be obtained on family data, or other causes of hypodontia, it is my opinion that the information was needed to complete the study. The work leaves information for another study to determine the causes of the congenital absence of some pieces of the teeth	
Minor REVISION comments	Although it describes that in other studies the incidence of hypodontia in boys and girls is separated, in the study it is described globally in 5.9. In the tables if boys and girls are separated according to whether they are premolars, canines, lateral incisors or central incisors	
Optional/General comments	It is an input for other studies	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nora Ortiz De Moreno
Department, University & Country	Universidad De Panamá, Panamá