

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78660
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of pregnancy-induced hypertension on uteroplacental vasculature hemodynamic changes and pregnancy outcome
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	THE TITLE CAN BE MODIFIED AS TO USE OF COLOUR DOPLER IN PIH. Concept and clarity in relation to radiographic changes need to be understood Also materials and method used at the beginning of the study is not written in details. The study participants selection , age group even the sample size is not well determined.many lines in introduction and methodology not correct.title of the table erroneous .table 1 table 2 , table 3 reference s should be given for introduction in Vancouver or harvard style. Inclusion and exclusion criteria to be mentioned.results in the abstract part is not correct.since it is a thesis it needs more correction before submitting, I find the methodology need to be written again and in details, discussion though given references has to written correctly,	
Minor REVISION comments	Revision to be done . in discussion through references . a gross idea of pregnancy induced hypertension and measurement of blood pressure along with radiographic change	
Optional/General comments	Understanding and clarity of concept very important in any type of research, research methodology statistical analysis and biostatistics concept is very important .this research . References should be given from introduction.more than 40 percent of error making the thesis less acceptance.gross mistakes cant be undermined,	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Did not tell anything about ethical permission being sought or not, also consent of the mother for participants is needed	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moushumi Biswas
Department, University & Country	Silchar Medical College under Srimanta Sankardev University of Health Sciences, Assam, India