

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78636
Title of the Manuscript:	SAFETY OF WEEKLY PRIMAQUINE IN GLUCOSE 6 PHOSPHATASE DEHYDROGENASE (G6PD) DEFICIENT CHILDREN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The work is scientifically relevant and has the potential to show:                      - What is expected from the title, <b>SAFETY OF WEEKLY PRIMAQUINE IN GLUCOSE 6 PHOSPHATASE DEHYDROGENASE (G6PD) DEFICIENT CHILDREN</b></p> <p>However, the following suggestions are made.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract:</b> mention the method of assay of G6PD, liver function test, creatinine, complete blood count, reticulocyte, statistical tool used and at what level are the significant values set, anticoagulant bottle used and the volume of blood to anticoagulant.</p> <p><b>Introduction</b> require justification</p> <p>Result aspect of the abstract is not complete, what about the biochemical test.</p> <p><b>Methodology:</b> what method was used in the detection of MP, creatinine, Complete blood count, Reticulocyte count, Liver function test and creatinine?</p> <p>The statement clinical assessment should be a continuation of the previous sentence with a conjunction WHERE and include FOR after check. The following sentence should have THEN after blood will.</p> <p><b>Result:</b> include the interpretation for table1 and table 4. Link Table 2 with the interpretation with the phrase "as shown in"</p> <p><b>Table:</b> include abbreviation and P value at the footnote of the tables. Table 1 should have the acronyms the column heading in bracket. For instance Number (n), Percentage (%)</p> <p>Table 2 should be rearranged into three columns. For instance, day of Primaquine, Mean Hb (mg/dl) and Plasmodium Vivax (+ve) with abbreviations and their meanings at the foot note such as +ve, Hb(mg/dl) and P value.</p> <p><b>Discussion:</b> Can you state the possible reason for the "negative MP test and no haemolysis noted" in this study as compared with other studies in the first paragraph.</p> <p>In the 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph why not use "some check" rather than "Some are checking"</p> <p>Merge paragraph 4 with paragraph 3.</p> <p>State the reason for the difference in findings in paragraph 5</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

	There should be full stop at the end of second to the last paragraph.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This review is interesting and has important implications for the human health. It is proper to be published in the journal after revisions	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Akwuebu Susanna Osiro</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rivers State University, Nigeria</b>