

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78603
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization and Characterization of Self Nano Emulsifying Drug Delivery System loaded with 18- β Glycerrhetic acid
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In the abstract the authors have mentioned enhancement of solubility and bioavailability as an objective. However no experiments have been performed to improve the solubility and bioavailability of pure drug. The objectives should be revised and rewritten.2. In figure 9, there are two major droplet size distribution. One at 166 nm and other at 22 nm. This clearly indicates the formulation is not uniform. The author should clearly write in the paper why they have selected this formulation.3. The conclusion needs revision. Again the word 'fastest' is not suitable here.	
Minor REVISION comments	Revision for English is needed throughout the manuscript. <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Typographical errors in abstract. (first line)2. Data of %transmittance is not given in abstract.3. Rewrite last line of abstract again. It's not appropriate to write 'fastest' for an experimental design.4. At many places authors have used 'We'. It should be changed to 'In this work'5. The table below figure 1 could be removed as data is already given in the graph.6. Table 14 could be removed as data is already shown in drug release graph7. In figure 12 author should mention Formulation code in the caption.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Usama Ahmad
Department, University & Country	Integral University, India