

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78594
Title of the Manuscript:	Phenolic constituents of Anethum graveolens seed extracts: chemical profile and antioxidant effect studies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No comment	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. I suggest including the aim of the research to the abstract instead the background2. Cite references following journal' directions.3. In results and discussion check the concentration range. In 3.1.1 section: It says "(concentration in the range of 0.25 - 0.39 mg/g seeds)". It should say 0.21 to 0.43 mg/g. "A good (\pm) - catechin content was found in all samples (concentration in the range of 0.59 - 1.0 mg/g seeds)". It should say 0.41 – 1.0 mg/g In 3.1.2 section: "...showed contents of 0.45 - 0.55 mg/g dill seeds..." It should be 0.41 – 0.55 mg/g	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In the results section you only describe values obtained, you should indicate if there were statistically significant differences.2. I assume that you use replicates. Include the number.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Javier Añorve Morga
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo, Mexico