

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78572
Title of the Manuscript:	A survey about the awareness, knowledge and attitude towards Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) among the dental researchers of SGT University, Gurugram
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- What is the important point or reasons for dental researchers/ scholars of SGT University, Gurugram to know and understand about IPR?</li><li>- Please elaborate how the researches get the 100 respondents? And does 100 able to represent the total population? How many is the total population?</li><li>- The research should relate all of the finding with past literature so more elaboration can be made, thus better understanding for the readers</li></ul> <p>Kindly refer to the article text. My comments had been highlighted there.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Why is Limitations of IPR mention after Results and discussion? Should it be at Introduction or Literature Review?</li></ul> <p>Kindly refer to the article text. My comments had been highlighted there.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- It will be much better if put some literature about controversies on IPR in medical industry</li></ul> <p>Kindly refer to the article text. My comments had been highlighted there.</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Muhsin Nor Paizin
Department, University & Country	Pusat Pungutan Zakat (PPZ-MAIWP), Malaysia