

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78565
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential Therapeutic Activity of Mango (Mangifera indica L.) Leaves on Excess Consumption of Fructose in Rats
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is an interesting paper reporting mitigating effects of dietary Mango leaf powder on negative effects of excessive consumption of fructose. The results presented are worthy of publication. In this study the quality of the Mango leaf powder is crucial. Because its contents are varied by the powder making procedure. just mentioning as "dried entirely in well-ventilated stores under proper conditions before being processed into powder", I could not find the methods on how to make it. The authors are suggested to describe such "proper conditions" with more details. Please note that antioxidants such as ascorbate contained in intact leaves could be oxidized by inappropriate procedures or methods as seen in a difference between green tea and black tea.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>There are many typographic errors throughout the manuscript. Please make double check. e.g. <Abstract> "in this study .Fifteen rats" should be "in this study. Fifteen rats". Conclusion: "Mano leaves" should be "Mango leaves".</p> <p><Discussion> "glucose (C₆ H₁₂ O₆)" should be "glucose (C₆H₁₂O₆)".</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hideo Yamasaki
Department, University & Country	University of the Ryukyus, Japan