

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78556
Title of the Manuscript:	Saudi physicians' awareness and practice of pulmonary rehabilitation for post-COVID 19 syndrome patients
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Results and discussion Line 87 says "years of experience of about 6.95 years (figure 1)" this information is not found in Figure 1.</p> <p>Linea 91 says "number of covid-19 patients seen by physicians was 5.68% per day" and the data is not found in table 1.</p> <p>Line 111 says "have optimistic beliefs about the pulmonary rehabilitation program (n=728) (66%)" is not found in table 3. Table 3 says "home based pulmonary rehabilitation program" and the correct is "home-based pulmonary rehabilitation program".</p> <p>Line 130 says "However, zoom (n=319) (28.7%) was the most used platform for telerehabilitation programs" no data is found in table 5.</p> <p>Line 144 says "significant barrier n=351, 28.4%" and the correct is "significant barrier n=315, 28.4%"</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: I suggest incorporating the benefits of rehabilitation programs such as: Saving travel time to the rehabilitation center. Saving money for transfer to rehabilitation center. Savings on the purchase of personal protection items. Possibility of physiotherapists to perform telework.</p> <p>Line 49 says "conid-19-infected individuals" and should say "covid-19-infected individuals"</p> <p>Discussion: Line 182 says "post-covid-19 patient were unvaccinated". I suggest including percentage 58.1% I suggest including that this study suggests training programs for physical therapists regarding rehabilitation programs.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marcelo Dalbosco-Salas
Department, University & Country	Universidad de las Américas, Chile