

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78533
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial Activity of CuO Nanoparticles Synthesized by Justicia Adhatoda Leaf Extract
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Authors have carried out the research work with clear objectives and scientific temper.</p> <p>But still, there are many things to be clearly explained and to be improved.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Typographical errors must be correct.2. Improper spacing and several articles like a, an, the uses are incorrect.3. Introduction has to be rewritten with proper literature and references-Not relevant.4. Thoroughly go through the manuscript and check for grammar and spelling corrections. And also check the manuscript formats.5. The conclusion section is still lacking.6. Every section of the manuscript should be more clearly discussed for their importance.7. FESEM images should be focused in nanoscale range, nano particles shape are not properly checked.8. Refer the following papers for explaining the FT-IR, SEM, XRD patterns: https://doi.org/10.2174/2405461502666161209153905 https://www.asianpubs.org/index.php/ajchem/article/view/15206 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12034-020-02227-y9. There is no information about the antibacterial strain number and from where procured. <p>With the above comments, I recommend major revision for this manuscript.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R.Elancheran
Department, University & Country	Annamalai University, India