

Review Form 1.6

	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78480
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of pain manifestation and its management in adults
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abstract should give important details and statistical findings The conclusive remark (of the abstract) was not supported (and explained) by the findings of the study. How were the patients/subjects enrolled in the study? It should be clarified. 'The data was collected from a large number of patients undergoing intermediate to major surgery and thus have high analgesic requirements' is the only sentence to explain this, but it does not specify any criteria. It appears that no consecutive sample was taken into consideration. For example, we see that the majority of the sample comprised urogynaecology and orthopaedic patients. If the authors enrolled whole consecutive patients on the wards general surgery and internal medicine would have comprised the majority. This method gives way to a sampling bias, which also lets us question whole statistical findings. We do not even understand if all patients in the "admission wards" in the study period were recruited in the study. The objective of the study was cited as "The main objective of the study is to clinically analyse the pain manifestation and its management in adults" but it should consist of 'patients on wards' and specify the indications or criteria to include them.</p> <p>A paragraph on limitations should be added.</p> <p>Which scale to use to assess pain in these patients? I recommend to refer to this article: Karcioğlu O, Topacoglu H, Dikme O, Dikme O. A systematic review of the pain scales in adults: Which to use? Am J Emerg Med 2018 36(4);707-714. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2018.01.008.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Table and figure legends should be more explanatory.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ozgun Karcioğlu
Department, University & Country	Univ. of Health Sciences, Istanbul Education and Research Hospital, Turkey