

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78442
Title of the Manuscript:	MIXED GERM CELL TUMOUR OF OVARY WTH CHEMOTHERAPY SIDE EFFECT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1, Comments must be invited from authentic medical specialist in respect of medical management and medical treatment prescribed in this case. 2. Ingested Cisplatin level affecting the hearing as reported is far away from actually prescribed, hence must be appropriately stted to support the study. 3. <u>Ethical Clearance</u> availability must be ensured. 4. Related Biomarkers for both the parent's germinal cells may be included in study design to strengthen the hypothesis of genetic regulation of germinal cells physiology. 5. Date of surgery mentioned under the heading of surgery management must be re-checked and corrected. 6. Is hearing loss reversible, expectedly, by any chance/or reported elsewhere. Pl. Mention. 7. Managing psychological aspects to recover hearing loss requires a lot of data and review support in terms of reporting of earlier studies, if any on this aspect. 8. Typological mistakes may be attended to achieve the quality. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mention of Socio-economic status of the patient and family seems essential to enable suggested nursing intervention(s) implemented. 2. If possible, the organizational aid possibly extended in respective areas of speciality may also be suggested for patients, if extendable by medicos. 3. Audiograms details may be explained more elaborately in terms of coordinates shown in graphs. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repetition in history and medical/Physical evaluation reports may be avoided. 2. Authentic databases of such reports must be generated at district/Civil hospitalsl. 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Since the problem specified in current study is associated with heredity and genetic regulation the ethical permissibility in relation to risk involved in surgery and its relevance needs to be deliberated by experts. Genetic inheritance of such health related problems needs to be discussed for public awareness to save healthy human race.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P Sikka
Department, University & Country	ICAR-Indian Agricultural Statistics Research Institute, India