

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78436
Title of the Manuscript:	Intraoperative Bleeding Management: An Updating Literature Review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction</p> <p>Material methods- Details how the literature was searched, article selected and results of data collected is not there. Using the key words given by the author (We searched articles on etiology, causes, and treatment published in English worldwide in the Medline, EBSCO and PubMed databases. The keyword search title included "bleeding, bleeding, bleeding during surgery" and a combination of these was used..) gives 25826 articles on PUBMED only..MATERIAL AND METHODS should be reproducible to the reader.</p> <p>Citation method in the text is different.</p> <p>References are very old and do not follow one particular style of citation.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Lots of grammar mistakes, (spellings, tense ect)	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indu Verma
Department, University & Country	ESIC Medical College Alwar, India