

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78434
Title of the Manuscript:	Ultra violet and Visible light as Therapeutic tools for Covid -19
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Idea or objective of the work is no doubt interesting. But it would be better if the direct experiment was performed on covid patient. Then you could collect the exact percentage of recovery result. However the work is acceptable.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. "It is important to note that the above bacterium is at least five times tougher, bigger unicellular organism with a well defined cell wall and organelle whereas the SARS-2 is a single stranded tiny RNA virion with a flimsy protein envelope. Hence PDA would be effective even with much less chemical and light as had been shown by others too for other viruses [20-22]" This line has written twice. Check the mistake. And also go through the whole write up to recheck some spelling mistake. 2. Reference should be properly arranged using 'Justify' toolbar. Maintain proper format of the journal.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Arup Mandal</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Rammohan College, India</i>