

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78413
Title of the Manuscript:	Forced degradation study for simultaneous quantification of aspirin and omeprazole in pharmaceutical dosage form by RP-HPLC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Rewrite the Abstract includes proper results in put and why this work is done if done then author should compare with any marketed formulation for method development of simultaneous estimation by force degradation ASP and omeprazole, All chromatogram are not cleared; not properly cited which related to perform this work Author should clear that why this work is performed? Whole manuscript is not properly arranged(instruction of author guideline should be follow) Method of preparation is not clear, author should rewrite again For acid hydrolysis of active ingredient is subject to heat in water bath (mentioned temperature ) Suggested that in whole manuscript the drug abbreviation should mention like Aspirin (ASP) other OMZ</p> <p>Strength of alkalis mentioned uniformly either M OR N; Result should mentioned in tabular form from which readers clearly understood and also eye caught. Lot of typological errors is found, author should minimise the errors</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sudhir Kumar.P</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Siksha O Anusandhan Deemed to be University, India</b>