

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78403
Title of the Manuscript:	A case report on pyloric stenosis in infants
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author may require the service a native speaker of the English language to reword the entire manuscript.</p> <p>The abstract should follow the guidelines of the journal i.e. aim, presentation of case, discussion, conclusion.</p> <p>The entire manuscript needs to be reworked as there is no cohesion of ideas; part of the discussion is lumped up with the case presentation e.g. "Description of Pathophysiology" coming in the middle of the case presentation.</p> <p>There is a need for author to avoid reporting in first person e.g., "My patient..."</p> <p>The author should follow the journal's referencing style</p> <p>The name of the journal is missing in reference number 10</p> <p>The author is advised to cite journal articles rather than non-journal websites such as WebMD and Wikidoc, some of which are non-referenced or inadequately referenced. Out of 15 references, the author cites, at least, 8 of these web-based sources.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Dividing the case presentation into small sub-sections such as "Past medical history" etc. is unconventional and distracting.</p> <p>The results may be better presented in a table</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fawale Omomayowa Omotola
Department, University & Country	Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria