

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78389
Title of the Manuscript:	CASE REPORT ON HAITUS HERNIA
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The whole concepts is wrong: abstract is designed out of the certain demands how abstract should be done. English language is very poor with a lot of mistakes. The abbreviations are not explained i.e. GEJ?</p> <p>In case presentation in abstract authors talked about 39-old patient, while in the manuscript they presented case report of a 65-years old patient ? I do not understand the intention of presented case reports ? What is special/different in this case of hiatus hernia ? In this manuscript authors simply explained the pathogenesis and clinical aspects of hiatus hernia and presented the clinical treatment of a certain case, which is nothing new or different from the standard routine. All the treatment mentioned in the manuscript is just presentation of a treatment possibility for hiatus hernia and not for this special case. The conclusion is very general with no connection with the certain case.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>There is no need for ethical approval cause is it a case report not a research article</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Damir Franić
Department, University & Country	University of Maribor, Slovenia