

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78375
Title of the Manuscript:	A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW: THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FIXED PROSTHODONTICS AND DENTAL SENSITIVITY.
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments Direct prosthetic restorations offer two major advantages of superior strength and aesthetic appearance when the large restorations are used. self-curing sets have demonstrated a lower thermal change than dual curing sets, and the latter results in a lower temperature rise [12]. it is crucial that the dental tissues are strong and the dental preparation is done with plentiful use of water cooling before and after tooth extraction.	Where is the reference to support this especially that majority of literature opposes this statement. Review these statements preparation or extraction	
Minor REVISION comments bacterial invasions and dentin circulation are stopped, resulting in pain demonstrated24-25 According to Brannstrom, the model most widely accepted is the theory of 1964 [1].	Missing reference (4) bacterial invasions and dentin circulation resulting in pain are stopped remove 24-25	
Optional/General comments To study about the fixed prosthodontics	Grammatical error, suggested alternative, studying different types of	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lana A Shinawi
Department, University & Country	King Abdulaziz University, KSA