

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78333
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the knowledge and practise of Esthetic dentistry amongst the practicing dentists of Private dental colleges of Navi Mumbai- A questionnaire-based study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1 introduction Define and expand the abbreviations (CAM CAD GP) Highlight the rationality of study in Indian context</p> <p>2 methods Status of ethics committee approval and number with date (if taken). As observation study also require prior ethics approvals Whether written informed consent was obtained or just verbal consent needs to be mentioned Sample size justification Since it was a self designed scale , was the questions fully designed newly or partly adapted from some prior articles or questionnaire of other authors (if partially adapted from others please cite the references of those authors and write self designed adapted kap questionnaire from literature articles and cite reference Expert validation was done but did the authors calculate the reliability of the scale ? if done please mention details and crunch Bach alpha value for the same The details of the scoring of responses to questionnaire be added (likert , graded yes or no) Awareness can be graded yes. No or can't say but knowledge has be to assessed as correct or wrong . and appropriate scoring to be mentioned Whether a total knowledge score was calculated and will be compared between bds and speciality mds is not mentioned.</p> <p>3 Results Please elaborate the key findings in tabulated form Need to add the knowledge assessment questionnaire responses and also practice related separate tabular findings Also the table reflecting statistics and p values is missing. Need to incorporate statistical result tables and also comparison between bds and speciality to be added as it is discussed in manuscript</p> <p>4 Discussion Add the implications of the study and also limitations of this study</p> <p>5 conflict of interest to be declared especially important in case if the authors are a part of esthetic dentistry groups or clinics</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Ethics committee approval status Not mentioned</p>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pradeep Jadhav
Department, University & Country	MGM Medical college, India