

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78332
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DENTAL ARCH WIDTH AND VERTICAL FACIAL MORPHOLOGY IN UNTREATED ADULTS- A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:


This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalprij.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A large part of the literature is more than 10 years old (3 items from 2013. Maybe it would be good to find newer studies: Dasgupta M, Roy BK, Bora GR, Bharali T. Relationship between dental arch width and vertical facial morphology in multiethnic assamese adults. Indian J Oral Health Res 2021;7:26-35</p> <p>C Matthew Forster 1, Elaine Sunga, Chun-Hsi Chung Relationship between dental arch width and vertical facial morphology in untreated adults. Eur J Orthod . 2008 Jun;30(3):288-94. doi: 10.1093/ejo/cjm113. Epub 2008 Feb 8 it will be good to change the title of the work to avoid accusation of plagiarism?</p>  <p>Figure 1 the same Figure is in the work of C. M. Forster, E. Sunga, C. Chung. Do you have any permission from the authors to use the picture? It will be good to put the information about it? Figure 1 Mandibular plane according to C. M. Forster, E. Sunga, C. Chung. (the signature should be placed under the image on the same page).</p> <p>Figure 2 Measurements of Maxilla and Mandible – the same as figure 1 , please explain.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Material and methods Vernier calliper (producer, country)</p> <p>Table no.5- please use the same marking in all tables, i.e. Table 3. Table 4</p> <p>arch exhibited statistically significant values (p value less than 0.05)...- one point at the end of sentence.</p> <p>It would be nice to include work number [11], which is very similar to the current one. Which are the pictures</p> <p>Please correct the discussions. Some authors are named without the year, eg Christina G¹⁵, and a few authors are given the year of Ringqvist's publication, 1973; Weijs and Hillen, 1984; Hannam and Wood, 1989; Kiliaridis, 1991; Bakke et al., 1992; Kiliaridis, 1995-standardize</p>	

Review Form 1.6

<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>You can change: In millimetres the breadth of the mandibular arch for low, medium, and high angle males to : The breadth of the mandibular arch for low, medium, and high angle- males [mm]</p> <p>Maxillary arch width measurements in millimetres for low, average, and high MP – SN angle females – to Maxillary arch width measurements for low, average, and high MP – SN angle females [mm].</p> <p>study where in he used the PA cephalograms to determine- study where he used</p>	
---	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zbigniew Raszewski
Department, University & Country	Spofa Dental Czech Republic, Europe