

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78331
Title of the Manuscript:	TO ASSESS THE SKELETAL DISCREPANCIES BY DETERMINING “R” ANGLE IN LATERAL CEPHALOMETRICS IN NAVI MUMBAI POPULATION.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide descriptions of abbreviations used in the table in footnotes Eg: LT, MT etc 2. Avoid short forms Eg: % used in introduction on Jaraback ratio 3. Description about Jaraback ratio in the introduction part needs rechecking 4. Include an image of R angle 5. Quote the tables (in the text) in the part where reliability of r angle against existing parameters is discussed. Table 7 to 11 have not been quoted in the text. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reduce the number of tables- include tables only which highlight or support your findings. This can make your manuscript more specific and to the point. Eg: Table no.1 may be omitted as its already mentioned in the materials and methods Table no.3 may be optional – sufficient supportive findings have been described in subsequent data 2. Mention limitations and future recommendations for the study 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Grammatical errors need to be addressed 2. Title of manuscript looks like aim of the study. It may be revised as ASSESSMENT OF SKELETAL DISCREPANCIES BY DETERMINING R ANGLE IN LATERAL CEPHALOGRAMS IN NAVI MUMBAI POPULATION 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chelza X
Department, University & Country	Kerala University of Health Sciences (KUHS), India