

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78326
Title of the Manuscript:	PRELIMINARY PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS, ANTIOXIDANT AND ANTI GOUT POTENTIAL OF AQUEOUS SEED EXTRACT OF PUNICA GRANATUM - AN IN VITRO STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract : in general the abstract needs review to better communicate what is the research question this paper is trying to respond, what methodology was used and how the results respond to the research question. Also, not clear what are the authors proposing to do and how these suggestions relate to the findings of this manuscript.</p> <p>Introduction: As is happens in the abstract, the authors are not providing enough background for the reader to understand why it is important to study on aqueous seed extract of punica granatum, and what are the expected benefits. It is not clear what the main problem is that this manuscript is trying to solve.</p> <p>Methods: I found some inconsistencies when you write the reference style for in vitro Xanthine oxidase inhibitory of the extract. Also, maybe the authors would like to add pictures of the extract</p> <p>Results: As the authors said, this paper were analyzed with two-way ANOVA and Tukey's test. However, I don't think I find any explanation about the results from this data analysis in the result section. Could you please explain it more about this?</p> <p>Discussion: no comment</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>This is a very interesting article on an in vitro study of punica granatum. It is clearly written and goes to the point. However, I have some suggestions that I hope will help improve the manuscript.</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sri Sunaringsih Ika Wardojo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia</b>