

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78324
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) intervention for school going children on hygiene practices, absenteeism, diarrhea, and respiratory infection
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is of great importance, addressing important aspects of Public Health. However, in my view it needs a series of adjustments before being published.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The work presents a proposal for monitoring the health status and academic performance of school-age children, however the results of the questionnaires and data obtained are not presented, even if only partially. - The methodology needs to be better systematized, the way it was presented is very confused. - In the item Study Design and study setting it, would be interesting to include a column in the table to characterize the profile of the school. Number of students, target audience, peculiarities, physical structure, location (interesting to include a map), highlighting the particularities and differences of each school. - In the item Model of intervention explain the purpose of chloroscope and the orthotoluidine test. - In the item "Methods of data collection", systematize the methodology and references used. <p>It is not clear how the laboratory tests for the analysis of water will be carried out.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ethics clearance: It is unclear whether the study obtained ethical clearance and consent from professionals and students. Clarify. - It is important to make a final conclusion to the text with the conclusions reached. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Tables and figures were not mentioned in the text. 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Fátima de cássia oliveira gomes</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Centro Federal de Educação Tecnológica de Minas Gerais, Brazil</i>