

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78323
Title of the Manuscript:	Uncontrolled Hypertension as a Risk for Coronary Artery Disease: Patient Characteristics and the Role of Physician Intervention
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The idea of this study, to evaluate the association of uncontrolled hypertension with coronary artery disease, is very interesting and with important future implications. This is generally a well-written study, with clear tables and interesting findings that can make significant contributions to clinical practice.</p> <p>I consider that the article may be accepted for publication after some minor revisions.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. I recommend to better define the inclusion and exclusion criteria and also the medication used to decrease the blood pressure.2. Which is the period when these patients were selected and included in the study: September 2020 to 2021? Do you consider that a mean follow-up period of 6 months is enough to draw these conclusions?3. Also, I suggest addressing the future scope and topics that are important and that could not be covered in the manuscript and the limitations of the study.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Larisa Anghel
Department, University & Country	Universitatea de Medicină și Farmacie "Grigore T. Popa", Romania