

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78313
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of the influence of the molecular weight of polyethyleneglycols on the optical properties and dispersed characteristics of sols of Au nanoparticles used in medicine
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>- In the Abstract, the Authors should indicate more results,</p> <p>- In Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "In (28), a method for obtaining Au son o nanoparticles by the electrochemical method is presented. The authors of(29) obtained anisotropic Au nanostructures by photochemical reduction of H₂AuCl₄." What do Authors mean by "Au son o nanoparticles"? • Instead of writing "In (xx), ...", authors should write correct expressions such as "According to John et al., .." <p>- In Materials and Methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What do Author call citrate method? • Authors should display all the reagents used and their characteristic • Information about the characteristics of the equipment should be given, • "Materials and Methods" should be clearly separated to "Results and discussion" <p>The discussion seems to lack details, the authors must be more explicit</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The results are around 300 words, too less; more things need to be explained. For instance, why certain molar masses are not ideal for the stability of Au nanoparticles?</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kouadio Fodjo Essy
Department, University & Country	Felix-Houphouet-Boigny University, Côte d'Ivoire