

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78302
Title of the Manuscript:	The Prevalence of Dental Anxiety Among Dental Patients in Qassim Region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>INTRODUCTION</p> <p>It is too long. Paragraphs 8-9 are essentially a repetition of paragraph 4,5 and 6.</p> <p>METHOD</p> <p>Since the sample in the 18-30 group is almost double the sample of >50 group, the finding that the younger population has greater anxiety could be an outcome of discrepancy in the sample rather than true significant difference in dental anxiety.</p> <p>RESULTS & DISCUSSION</p> <p>Paragraph 7, refrain from giving personal opinion. Provide proper reference for all statements in the discussion.</p> <p>Paragraph 7-10, provide appropriate references for the statements made and conclusions drawn.</p> <p>Ensure proper and adequate referencing throughout the manuscript.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>INTRODUCTION</p> <p>Paragraph 12line 3 "This decision to used MDAS Arabic version is based on the facts that target population of the study can conveniently conversate in Arabic while it demonstrates high validity and reliability (Bahammam and Hassan, 2014)", please check the correct dictionary verb form of conversation instead of conversate.</p> <p>Length of the conclusion needs to be reduced.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sneha Kothare
Department, University & Country	All India Institute Of Medical Science, India