

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78284
Title of the Manuscript:	Diabetic Foot Risk Factors and Effectiveness of Education in Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Does it bring something new? OR: Does it corroborate other rare findings? OR: Does it illustrate complex case management in a resource-limited setting?</p> <p>In some reports the first two of these preliminaries are called aims and the others are known collectively as scope.</p> <p>Does the study add to the existing knowledgebase?</p>	<p>As the report of a research study, it should be written under the subheadings: Aim, Methods, Results, Conclusion. The words 'material' and 'discussion' should not appear in the subheadings.</p> <p>Your conclusions must follow logically from your detailed findings. Your recommendations must follow logically from your conclusions. Your introduction should include everything your readers need to know before they read the rest of the report.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The role of scientists is to collect data and transform them into understanding. Their role as authors is to present that understanding. However, going from data to understanding is a multi-step process. Your conclusions should link your terms of reference (what you were trying to do, as stated in your introduction) with your findings (what you found out, as presented in your main body).</p>	<p>Are there key words and do they seem to be appropriate? Limit your proofreading to one small section at a time. Then take a short break before proceeding to the next small section.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>List the words alphabetically and place the section towards the end of the report. However, if a large number of readers will need to familiarise themselves with the vocabulary before reading the report, it is better to place the glossary at the beginning.</p>	<p>Check the use of English, punctuation and spelling.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abu-Hussein Muhamad
Department, University & Country	National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece