

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78252
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF ACTIVATED PARTIAL THROMBOPLASTIN TIME AND PROTHROMBIN TIME IN HIV AND TB PATIENTS IN OWERRI METROPOLIS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1- The manuscript subject is not meeting journal's general subjects' areas</p> <p>2- The abstract is exceeding journal's words limits (300 words), detailed results are not required, authors should shortcut it to include only important results.</p> <p>3- The introduction part is not meeting scientific research guidelines (background, definition of the problem, proposed solution, literatures reviews, and the important of the current work).</p> <p>4- All results are explained in improper way, tables are proceeding results explanation texts.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1- Many grammar errors have been detected throughout the entire manuscript, ex. Lines 8, 49, 73, 169, 190, etc., improper punctuation, complex sentences, incorrect word choice and unclear sentences also are found.</p> <p>2- Methods such as Kaolin platelet substitute mixture, and Diagen Rabbit Brain capillary reagent are not cited, besides the whole manuscript is lacking adequate referencing.</p> <p>3- Tables regarding the results of PT and APTT for HIV and TB can be combined into one table, the authors might include estimation of PT and APTT rising in different age groups, and according to gender differences.</p> <p>4- The results can be explained in the form of histograms.</p> <p>5- Reducing the percentage of plagiarism, specifically in the discussion section.</p> <p>6- No funding sources are explained</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Comment [ZK1]: Cite the method

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zahraa Kamaz
Department, University & Country	Iraq