

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78250
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF CHANGES IN HAEMATOLOGICAL PARAMETERS OF OBESE INDIVIDUAL AT OMISANJANA AREA OF ADO EKITI, EKITI STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>No.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>I congratulate all the authors of this article for the great interest it arouses. The subject of the manuscript is interesting due to the high incidence of obesity in the world with its implications in terms of the associated pathologies and health costs. But also due to the increasing number of cases of childhood obesity. The language is correct and fluent. The tables have to be inserted in the text. A list of abbreviations used with a brief explanation is missing. Keywords are missing. The limitations of the study, such as the low sample size, should be explained. References to the hospital ethics committee are missing. The declaration of conflicts of interest is missing. It remains to explain whether they received funding, public or private, to carry out the study.</p> <p>In the conclusions, more solid final comments would be lacking to be able to give some recommendations, for example, referring to tobacco, physical exercise and healthy diet. Correction: page 4 of manuscript, line 2: Change "...is considered..." to "...was considered...".</p> <p>Correction page 6, line 2 of Discusión: Add "...in obese patients..." after (MCHC) and before "...". These ..."</p> <p>In the manuscript there are changes in line spacing on pages 6 and 7 that must be corrected.</p> <p>As a suggestion, comments from the following article could be used in the conclusions: Purdy JC, Joseph J Shatzel JJ. The hematologic consequences of obesity. Eur J Haematol 2021;106(3):306-19. References corrections at numbers: 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14, as you can see below. Reference 1: After "...Alqusair S..." you have to put et al and delete the following authors. And pages have to change to: "...159-62...".</p> <p>Reference 3: Pages have to change to: "...132-6." Reference 4: Pages have to change to: "...66-9." Reference 6: Pages have to change to: "...1412-19-9." Reference 7: After "...Anand K..." you have to put et al and delete the following authors. Pages have to change to: "...160-74." Reference 8: Pages have to change to: "...850-7." Reference 10: After "...AnaTing T..." you have to put et al and delete the following authors. Reference 11: Pages have to change to: "...24-9. Reference 12: Pages have to change to: "...1945-56. Reference 14: After "...Didia BC..." you have to delete "...and..."</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>No.</b>	

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Cristóbal Ramírez Sevilla</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hospital De Sant Joan De Déu De Martorell, Spain</b>