

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78246
Title of the Manuscript:	Case Report on Benign spindle cell tumour
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors report data on benign spindle cell tumors. The manuscript requires major revision for publishing. The authors should clearly note the type of tumor they report on, benign spindle cell tumors/proliferations? Spindle cell sarcomas (malignant tumors)? The fact that the report is with regard to a tumor occurring in a child should be clearly noted, including in the title. Standard requirements should be fulfilled: page numbering (and or continuous line numbering), similar.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Major revision adviced.	
Optional/General comments	Major revision adviced.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A Handra-Luca
Department, University & Country	University Paris Nord, France