

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>                                       |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JPRI_78243  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Endodontic management of acute dental pain and oral health challenges among pregnant women in Pakistan |
| Type of the Article      | Survey   |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p><b>- The main objective of the study or survey doesn't match or too different from the survey it self</b></p> <p>"Objectives : The main objective of our study is to find the endodontic management of acute dental pain oral health challenges faced by the pregnant women in Pakistan. Because like other systems of the body oral system also face many problems during pregnancy in women."</p> <p>But the present manuscript is about the whole oral health (gum diseases, caries...) and pregnancy and awareness of pregnant women about the significant relation between bad oral health and adverse pregnancy. The present manuscript doesn't deal with endodontic management pain absolutely</p> <p>The abstract need to be more structured, with more values and rates</p> <p>They are some references that could be used for the manuscript :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Contreras et al. <i>Periodontitis Is Associated With Preeclampsia in Pregnant Women. J Periodontol. 2006</i></li> <li>• Chambrone et al. <i>Evidence grade associating periodontitis to preterm birth and/or low birth weight: I. A systematic review of prospective cohort studies J Clin perio 2011</i></li> <li>•Lauren et al . <i>The Impact of Periodontitis in the Preterm Birth and Body Size of Newborns. Mat Soc Med. 2012</i></li> <li>•Ide and Papapanou. <i>Epidemiology of association between maternal periodontal disease and adverse pregnancy outcomes – systematic review. J Periodontol 2013</i></li> <li><i>SANZ M. Periodontitis and adverse pregnancy outcomes: consensus report of the Joint EFP/AAP Workshop on Periodontitis and Systemic Diseases. 2013</i></li> <li><i>xiong and offenbecher : periodontal disease and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a systematic review; BJOG 2006</i></li> </ul> <p>The methodology is not clear, how do you decide n=100 for the sample.... , the data collection should be revised ....</p> <p>Data analysis and results :</p> <p>Try to calculate and write correctly the mean value</p> <p>Some frequencies in the text don't match with the tables</p> <p>The exposition of results is not enough it should be more detailed</p> <p>How do you make some conclusion about significant association between variables without any data!!!!</p> <p>Discussion:</p> <p>It should be too long and rich, containing many surveys and studies from different country not only Australia for example</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>You should same up the whole survey results especially and give some solutions guidelines and perspectives</p> <p>References:</p> <p>Use the references already cited above, and try to find more articles authentic.</p> |  |

**Review Form 1.6**

|                                  |   |  |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments   | Pay attention to the values and frequencies , it should be identical in the text and the tables |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments | Redaction style , some mistakes   |  |

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                      |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Malek rayhana</b>                 |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Hassan II University, Morocco</b> |