

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78210
Title of the Manuscript:	Five different noise intensities robustly affects Corticosterone, Gastrin and Endothelin-1 responses and initiated gastric tissue damage in wistar rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The Introduction part of Abstract should to be modified/Rewrite by considering the scope / Background of the study ▪ Include the IAEC Protocol Approval number. ▪ Include conclusion statement in the abstract section ▪ Quote appropriate supporting references for Estimation of Serum Corticosterone, Gastrin and Endothelin-1 	j
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Write full form of abbreviations while using first time in the manuscript (ex HPA) ▪ Include source details of animals procurements ▪ Quote appropriate supporting references for selection of different noise intensities ▪ Why blood collections were done using tail vein? 1 ml on 1st day, 15th day and 30th day? Kindly clarify? ▪ Include magnification details in histopathological sections. 	
Optional/General comments	Kindly ensure plagiarism and spell check, grammatical mistakes	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> IAEC Approval numbers were missing	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jayaraman Rajangam
Department, University & Country	Sree Vidyanikethan College Of Pharmacy, India