

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78194
Title of the Manuscript:	Self-monitoring blood glucose method and outcome: A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Abstract can be in IMRAD format (Introduction, Materials and Method, Results, Analysis and Discussion).➤ Abstract should comprises of results with statistical value instead of writing in simple sentence.➤ In Introduction, Goals of self-monitoring and glucose levels author would used recent five years statistical values instead of 2010 and 2011.➤ In Role of Self-monitoring and glucose management mentioned as recent values instead of that author can mention the year	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ In Self-Monitoring of Blood Glucose Methods: instead of meter spelling typed as metre.➤ In first Reference technology spelling mistake need to be corrected.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Author had taken great effort to contribute this article but instead of writing in para pictorial representation can be shown for readers better understanding.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If Yes, Kindly Please Write Down The Ethical Issues Here In Details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajalakshmi R
Department, University & Country	Sri Balaji Vidyapeeth University, India