

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78179
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARITIVE STUDY OF FORMULATED MOSQUITO REPELLENT INCENSE STICKS WITH MARKETED PRODUCT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title: I suggest revising it to: "Evaluation of the efficacy of formulated mosquito repellent incense sticks". This is because the commercial product is used as a positive control and need not be included in the title.</p> <p>Abstract: Please revise the abstract to capture the methods used, the key findings and the implications of the findings in the management of mosquitoes.</p> <p>Introduction: I suggest revising the introduction to include (1) The challenges with the current mosquito repellents. Here some recent studies should be cited. (2) Why plants are being preferred to these commercial repellents. (3) Why the current plants were chosen for this study.</p> <p>Methods: The source of the plant materials should be mentioned, and how the samples were handled/any voucher specimens deposited to a herbarium. How were the plants identified? Before giving the ingredients in Table 1, first bring out how the samples were prepared. -The plants should be identified using their scientific names other than common names e.g. what is the scientific name for holy basil, garlic, neem, lemon grass etc? In Table 1, what does Q.S. means? -The methods of evaluation bases on which studies? Please cite previous studies using these methods. -The name of the commercial mosquito repellent should be cited, along with its composition.</p> <p>Results and Discussion -Please expand this section in comparison to previous studies done on the repellent activities of the different ingredients or other such herbal formulations involving the plants.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Please check the main manuscript file for other comments.	
Optional/General comments	Recent studies should be incorporated in the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Timothy Omara
Department, University & Country	Sciences and Aerospace Studies Moi University, Kenya