

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78175
Title of the Manuscript:	Medicinal Plants Explain The Significant Role of Uric Acid for Malaria Parasite
Type of the Article	Review article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	There are serious structural shortcomings in the article. 1. In the Background, the rationale for the compilation should be explained in light of known information. 2. The study selection process should be explained in Method section. (In the systematic review and, if possible, in the meta-analysis, which studies, screening characteristics and inclusion criteria should be specified). 3. Before discussing medicinal plants, the data selection method should be explained. 4. In light of other evidence, a general interpretation of the results should be made and expectations from future studies should be pointed out in Conclusion section. The conclusion should be expanded.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The text should be reviewed in terms of grammar. In particular, mistakes in Latin plant names should be corrected. These points are marked on the text.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In the review study, sufficient literature information about "Medicinal plants for Malaria" was presented. Objects are well defined. However, there are serious deficiencies in the study about the rationale of the compilation, the chosen method, its contribution to science, and its contribution to future studies.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## Review Form 1.6

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sefa Akbulut</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey</b>