

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78173
Title of the Manuscript:	“A STUDY TO ASSESS THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PLANNED TEACHING PROGRAMME ON KNOWLEDGE REGARDING AND MANAGEMENT OF PRE- ECLAMPSIA AMONG ANTENATAL MOTHERS”.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article
Submission date of Review comments	29 November, 2021

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. A. There are numerous “Grammatical” mistakes, so, corrections and language editing are obligatory (Major).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>2. A. Regarding the “Title”; capitalization of all letters in the title is unsuitable, please, change it. (Minor).</p> <p>2. B. The “Title” is inappropriate and incorrect. Please, change to “Efficacy assessment of knowledge and planned teaching among pre-eclampsia antenatal mothers; Cross-sectional study”. (Minor).</p> <p>3. A. The following sentence in the “Background” of “Abstract”; “Pre-eclampsia is the most common medical complication in the pregnancy, is defined as pre-eclampsia is a multiple system disorder of unknown etiology characterized by development of hypertension to the extent of 140/90 mm hg or more with proteinuria after 20th week in a previously normotensive and non-proteinuric woman.” is too long and bad-written. Please, reconstruct it into more than one sentence or summarize it. (Minor).</p> <p>4. A. The following sentences in the “Discussion”; “The study was to assess the knowledge about preeclampsia among antenatal women in a tertiary teaching hospital in Kelantan. The design selected for the study is cross-sectional design conducted on 76 antenatal mothers above 20 weeks of gestational age and attending antenatal clinical in Hospital University Sains Malaysia, Kelantan. Non-probability purposives sampling technique was used. The tool included socio-demographic proforma and knowledge questionnaire on preeclampsia. The data was analysed in terms of both descriptive and inferential analysis.”; are related to (Material and methods). Please transfer to (Material and methods). (Minor).</p> <p>5. A. The following sentence in the “Conclusion”; “It is concluded that In Pre – test level of knowledge score fair was 65 % and mean knowledge score was 2.02% And In Post-test level of knowledge score excellent was 55 % and mean knowledge score was 0.97 %..” is “Results”. This should translate and interpret this “Results” in the “Conclusion” as a final point. Also, correct according to in the “Conclusion” of “Abstract”. (Minor).</p> <p>6. A. The author should supply the ISBN in the book “Reference”; such as Reference No. 1-8 and 12-16”</p> <p>6. B. Why is the “Reference style” of “Reference No.10, 11, 17, and No. 18” different than the others? Unification for Reference style is needed. Please, correct it. (Minor).</p>	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yasser Mohammed Hassanain Elsayed
Department, University & Country	Egypt