

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78173
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>“A STUDY TO ASSESS THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PLANNED TEACHING PROGRAMME ON KNOWLEDGE REGARDING AND MANAGEMENT OF PRE- ECLAMPSIA AMONG ANTENATAL MOTHERS”.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It is necessary to apply for ethical clearance to the ethics commission so that there is evidence that the research conducted does not violate ethics to protect the subject and avoid conflicts of interest</li> <li>2. In the abstract section, it is necessary to add research methods which include the statistical tests used.</li> <li>3. The research methodology section needs to be completed related to research design, the research process from the beginning of sample selection to data collection. The sample count is calculated by what formula is described.</li> <li>4. The results section can be made using paragraphs (adjusted with the template), the results are specified for each section that will be discussed (answers from the research objectives). The table of research results is combined with the bottom part of the explanation in a narrative manner (not separated)</li> <li>5. In the discussion chapter, to add each characteristic of the research results, a discussion analysis is carried out one by one (can be compared with the results of previous studies, existing theories) looking for gaps then a complete and detailed discussion is carried out.</li> <li>6. Conclusions need to be adjusted to the answers to the research objectives that have been formulated</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In the discussion or discussion section, it is necessary to explain one by one the results of the research obtained	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In general, the research results are quite good.	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Wijayanti</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Kusuma Husada Surakarta, Indonesia</b>