

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78154
Title of the Manuscript:	“ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND ATTITUDE TOWARDS RADIATION HAZARDS AMONG NURSING STUDENTS”
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The present study is interesting, original but there still should be some suggestions to the authors to increase its scientific value:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No abstract or key words. - No rough description of the significance of the study. - No details on methodology about research questions, tools (description, items, scoring system), no validity and reliability mentioned, sample need to increase size, pilot study, . - Results need to be more clear, concise and on point. No comment in 1st table, no table (4); repeat the result under heading of result - Discussion: No point of view in the discussion or your interpretation for this result. - Reference: very limited reference, need more reference. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Please revise some other minor editing errors mentioned in the manuscript regarding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The discussion could be strengthened. - Conclusion must be more concise and there is some repetition in the result. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Add more specific recommendation based on result. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lamia Mohamed-Nabil Ismail
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt