

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78130
Title of the Manuscript:	CHRONIC PATELLAR TENDON RUPTURE RECONSTRUCTED WITH HAMSTRING GRAFT – A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p><u>Observation and comments</u></p> <p>Introduction: Authors should describe the purpose of their work. They report only one case. In this kind of situation, they must necessarily detail the particularity of the reported case.</p> <p>Operative procedure: The authors have well described the operative procedure. However, if possible they should talk about the choice made on the technique used over other possible techniques.</p> <p>Results/Evolution: In addition to what they describe, the authors should discuss the lessons learned from this case report versus the data in the literature.</p> <p>Competing interests: The author should describe whether there is a conflict of interest or not. If applicable, the author should describe and detail the nature of the interest</p> <p>Authors' contributions: The author should describe the role played by each co-author in the writing of this manuscript.</p> <p>Consent: The author must specify the verbal or written consent of patient.</p> <p>References: authors should rearrange the bibliographic references. References 12, 13 and 14 do not appear in their text.</p> <p>In brief, it is an interesting case report on a relevant theme. The abstract is acceptable after a few minor revisions. Concerning the elements of appreciation, the title and the introduction are in consonant with the subject. The operative procedure described is consistent the title and the subject. The results/evolution and the conclusion is acceptable after minors revision.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Conclusion: Authors must report lessons learned in the management of this case</p> <p>Reference: The author must either delete the reference number 21 in the text or mention it in the bibliographic list.</p> <p>Moreover, authors must see proposed revisions in the text</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Title: authors can add the service where the case was reported.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdoul Salam Diarra
Department, University & Country	Regional Health Direction of Mopti /Health Ministry and Social Development of MaliHigher Institute of Public Health of Bamako National Institute for Training in Health Science, Mali