

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78100
Title of the Manuscript:	OUTCOME OF PROXIMAL HUMERUS FRACTURE
Type of the Article	Case series/ Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Introduction: Author should highlight the importance of proximal humeral fracture. Modalities of treatment available till date and how better is it with treated with present method of study. What were the lacunae in earlier study how it can be overcome Materials and methods: need to delineate in detail procedure done and methods adopted for treatment of proximal humeral fracture treatment. Discussion: author has to compare his finding with earlier studies and arrive at a conclusion References : need to be added further	
Minor REVISION comments	Details and history of each case and presentation needs to be mentioned. what surgical method was applied and post op care and recovery needs to be detailed. how the surgical method in study is superior to earlier modalities of treatment need to be emphasised	
Optional/General comments	Mere studying in 3 cases cannot be sufficient for case series. There has to be statistical tests applied. Positives and negatives of the surgical approach in the study need to be highlighted. Please refer many more articles on the study and write the article in detail.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mallikarjun Adibatti
Department, University & Country	ESICMC & PGIMSR India