

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>                     |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JPRI_78090  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | COMPARISON OF HEMOGLOBIN(Hb) AND HEMATOCRIT(HCT) VALUE IN NORMAL AND CANCER PATIENTS |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p><b>There are certain points to be noted</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The research topic does not quality for international publication. Its too superficial and it needs lot of brain storming.</li> <li>2. In abstract author mentioned that only 20 subjects were included in the study, out of these only 10 were cancer patients</li> <li>3. In conclusion, worthy authors declared that there is no effect of cancer on hemoglobin concentration in a patient. What a childish conclusion and see the number of study cohort for this conclusion.</li> <li>4. The type of cancer must had been specified. Are the authors telling us about soft tissue, bone or blood malignancies?</li> <li>5. Why only Hemoglobin and Hematocrit and not who CBC was considered?</li> <li>6. There are lot of grammatical and spelling mistakes. Manuscript must be checked by English writing expert to remove the shortcomings.</li> <li>7. I could review only some parts of the manuscript. It is unfit for publication and need major reconsideration and professional writing</li> </ol> |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |   |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |   |  |

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Tahir Jameel</b>                                   |
| Department, University & Country | <b>King Abdulaziz University Jeddah, Saudi Arabia</b> |