

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78055
Title of the Manuscript:	Success of Immediate Manual Detorsion of Testicular Torsion
Type of the Article	Review Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled "Success of Immediate Manual Detorsion of Testicular Torsion" is a review article about the success rate of manual detorsion of testis after its torsion. It is a review article, where the researchers have reviewed 22 published articles.</p> <p>The review articles should be a little bit more in details. The authors must include a paragraph at the end of the introduction about the need for this review and lacuna in the existing literature about the topic under review.</p> <p>The methodology is very short. It needs more details such as, in total, how many articles were downloaded, what was the inclusion and exclusion criterion? Which all data bases were used etc.</p> <p>A table indicating author, year of publication and findings have to be incorporated At least 50 articles have to be reviewed. 22 articles is too less for an extensive review</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Satheesha Nayak B</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>