

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78041
Title of the Manuscript:	AN INTERESTING CASE OF MELIODOSIS
Type of the Article	CASE REPORT

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The Case Report is generally used to expose a rare or complex clinical case which can sometimes give rise to new therapeutic avenues or to larger studies on the disease. It is also used when it comes to shedding light on the pathophysiological mechanism of a poorly understood disease or to describe an unexpected side reaction to a drug. This does not appear to be the case with melioidosis which cannot be considered a really rare disease since the author himself has admitted that melioidosis is endemic in Asia and northern Australia.</p> <p>The article is titled: "An Interesting Case of Melioidosis." However, the author did not indicate in the summary, the introduction or the presentation of the case the main characteristics of this case that could justify the publication of a case report. Indeed, a number of symptoms described as multiple swelling on the body with discharge, fever are already found in other publications. I did not detect any new data in the presentation of the case which could justify its presentation as a case study.</p> <p>The author should have established, even succinctly, a more exhaustive assessment of the existing knowledge on the pathology (melioidosis) , the associated risk factors and its management.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Regarding the case report, the selection of the clinical case must be guided by the message that the author wants to convey from the clinical observation. But I can't quite see the nature of the message. He must make it more explicit.	
Optional/General comments	The reasons for choosing melioidosis to make a case report are not very explicit. The literature review without being obliged to exceed fifteen references could be more consistent.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Max Francois Millien
Department, University & Country	Quisqueya University, Haiti