

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78035
Title of the Manuscript:	Open Reduction & Internal Fixation of a Distal Radius Fracture With a Volar Locking Plate: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The keywords do not accurately reflect the content. They should be more specific. These terms are decisive for locating an article in a database or even on the internet. There are several MeSH terms (Medical subject headings), which fit the content of your manuscript. I would recommend some of these MeSH terms: Radius Fractures, distal radius fracture, Bone Plates, volar plate fixation, fracture fixation, fracture treatment, orthopedic surgery, and fracture reduction. These are just a few examples.</p> <p>The text is clear and easy to read however, the argumentation of the case, it is has been supported by publications a bit old. The studies of your References list were published more than 5 years ago, between 2006 to 2014. The number 8 reference, for example, is a meta-analysis from 2013, based on literature searching in only one database. A search by title and abstract in PubMed, with the following keywords: "volar locking plate fixation" returned 12 meta-analyses between 2016 and 2021. In the interest to improve the quality of your manuscript, please, you should update the evidence base of your paper. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?term=volar+locking+plate+fixation&filter=pubt.meta-analysis&filter=datesearch.y_5&sort=date&size=50</p> <p>Citing the sources of your research lends reliability to your work because, by documenting the origin of the claims and content, you make it easier for readers to verify them. Please cite the studies that you are referring to: "Biomechanical studies comparing volar fixed-angle locking plates with that of conventional dorsal plates report volar fixed-angle plates to be superior in terms of their strength".</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please, reconsider the list of keywords in your manuscript. 2. It should base its work on more up-to-date literature. 3. Please, check citations in the text. 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leticia Cuellar-Pompa
Department, University & Country	Instituto de Investigación en Cuidados del Colegio Oficial de Enfermeros de Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain